Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Ning Shan,\* Elaine Batchelor and William Jones

Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, England

Correspondence e-mail: ns261@cam.ac.uk

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 140 KMean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.002 \text{ Å}$  R factor = 0.034 wR factor = 0.085 Data-to-parameter ratio = 14.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# Quinolinium fumarate

In the title complex,  $C_9H_8N^+\cdot C_4H_3O_4^-$ , fumarate anions are linked by  $O-H\cdot\cdot\cdot O$  hydrogen bonds, forming infinite supramolecular chains along the *c* axis. Quinolinium cations are attached to the anionic chains *via*  $N-H\cdot\cdot\cdot O$  and C- $H\cdot\cdot\cdot O$  interactions. The crystal structure determination confirms the protonation of the quinoline N atom and deprotonation of one of the carboxylic acid groups of fumaric acid.

#### Comment

Fumaric acid is an organic dicarboxylic acid which crystallizes in two polymorphic forms: one in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/c$  (Brown, 1966) and the other in the triclinic space group  $P\overline{1}$  (Bednowitz & Post, 1966). In both crystal structures, acid molecules are linked by carboxylic acid  $R_2^2(8)$  hydrogen-bond pairs, forming one-dimensional supramolecular tapes. Fumaric acid is of interest since it is known to form supramolecular assemblies with N-aromatic complexes (Batchelor *et al.*, 2000). As part of our analysis of supramolecular architectures, the structure of the title complex, (I), was determined at 140 K.



(I) consists of a 1:1 complex of fumarate anions and quinolinium cations. The asymmetric unit and atomic numbering scheme are shown in Fig. 1. Zigzag supramolecular acid chains are formed along the *c* axis *via* O1-H01···O3 hydrogen bonds (Table 2). In addition, quinolinium cations are linked to the acid chains (Fig. 2) by N1-H02···O4 hydrogen bonds and C9-H9···O3 interactions. Proton transfer is observed between the carboxylic acid group and the quinoline N atom (Table 1). Quinolinium cations form infinite stacks along the *b* axis, the distance between adjacent molecules within a stack being *ca* 3.5 Å.

### **Experimental**

Fumaric acid and quinoline were obtained from Aldrich without further purification. 46 mg of the acid and 52 mg of the base were mixed and dissolved in a mixture of 9 ml of ethyl acetate and 10 drops of methanol. Crystals of (I) were obtained by slow evaporation at room temperature.

Received 24 February 2003 Accepted 25 February 2003 Online 7 March 2003

Acta Cryst. (2003). E59, o397-o398

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



#### Figure 1

The molecular unit of (I), showing displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level (*XP*; Sheldrick, 1993).



#### Figure 2

Projection on to (010), showing the zigzag supramolecular tapes formed by  $O-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds and proton transfer between the carboxylic acid and the aromatic N atom (*CAMERON*; Watkin *et al.*, 1996).

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_9 H_8 N^+ \cdot C_4 H_3 O_4^- \\ M_r = 245.23 \\ \text{Orthorhombic, } Pca 2_1 \\ a = 22.5838 (5) \text{ Å} \\ b = 3.7273 (1) \text{ Å} \\ c = 13.2912 (5) \text{ Å} \\ V = 1118.81 (6) \text{ Å}^3 \\ Z = 4 \\ D_x = 1.456 \text{ Mg m}^{-3} \end{array}$ 

#### Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer Thin-slice  $\omega$  and  $\varphi$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SORTAV*; Blessing, 1995)  $T_{min} = 0.877, T_{max} = 0.985$ 9868 measured reflections 2329 independent reflections Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation Cell parameters from 9880 reflections  $\theta = 1.8-27.5^{\circ}$  $\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 140 (2) KBlock, colourless  $0.23 \times 0.23 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$ 

2118 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   $R_{int} = 0.050$   $\theta_{max} = 27.5^{\circ}$   $h = -23 \rightarrow 29$   $k = -4 \rightarrow 3$  $l = -17 \rightarrow 14$ 

## Refinement

| Refinement on $F^2$             | $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0494P)^2]$                    |
|---------------------------------|--|
| $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$ | + 0.0496P]   |
| $wR(F^2) = 0.085$               | where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$                             |
| S = 1.08                        | $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$                        |
| 2325 reflections                | $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.20 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$  |
| 163 parameters                  | $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.23 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ |
| H-atom parameters constrained   |  |
|                                 |  |

# Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å).

| O2-C10 | 1.207 (2) | O3-C13 | 1.233 (2) |
|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| O4-C13 | 1.282 (2) | O1-C10 | 1.330 (2) |

# Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

| $D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ | D-H  | $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ |
|-----------------------------|------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| $N1 - H02 \cdots O4^i$      | 0.88 | 1.67                    | 2.553 (2)    | 179                         |
| C9−H9···O3 <sup>i</sup>     | 0.95 | 2.79                    | 3.348 (2)    | 119                         |
| $O1-H01\cdots O3^{ii}$      | 0.84 | 1.83                    | 2.667 (2)    | 177                         |
| C3-H3···O2                  | 0.95 | 2.35                    | 3.284 (2)    | 168                         |

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, 2 - y, z$ ; (ii)  $2 - x, 2 - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$ .

All H atoms bonded to C atoms were placed geometrically and refined using a riding model, with  $U_{iso}$  for each H atom taken as  $1.2U_{eq}$  of the carrier atom. Atoms H01 and H02 were located from a difference Fourier map and refined using a riding model. The absolute structure was not determined. Friedel opposites were merged prior to merging of data in *Pca2*<sub>1</sub>.

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: *HKL SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *HKL DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) and *SCALEPACK*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *XP* (Sheldrick, 1993); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

We are grateful for a DWEF Cambridge Scholarship and ORS Award (NS), as well as financial assistance from the EPSRC for the purchase of the CCD diffractometer. We also thank Dr J. E. Davies for data collection.

#### References

- Batchelor, E., Klinowski, J. & Jones, W. (2000). J. Mater. Chem. 10, 839-848.
- Bednowitz, A. L. & Post, B. (1966). Acta Cryst. 21, 566-571.
- Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.
- Brown, C. J. (1966). Acta Cryst. 21, 1-5.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Nonius (1998). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr and R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). XP. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Watkin, D. J., Prout, C. K. & Pearce, L. J. (1996). CAMERON. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, University of Oxford, England.